

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/26/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/800,828	03/08/2001	Joseph B. Rissin		3652
7	590 08/26/2003			
STEPHEN E. FELDMAN, P.C.			EXAMINER	
12 East 41st St New York, NY		BRITTAIN, JAMES R		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3677	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/800,828	RISSIN ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	James R. Brittain	3677			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (' condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	 a timely filed amendment whi 	cation. A proper reply to a \chickness cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension of the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action, or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	•				
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:	·			
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:	or reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows					
Claim(s) allowed: None.					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>2-8,11-13 and 15-17</u> .		•			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,9,10 and 14</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
10. Other:		James R. Brittain Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3677			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01) Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/800,828





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The limitations indicating that the fingers and tab extend on opposed ends of the base plate (claim 1, lines 9, 18; claim 9, lines 9, 14), that the second end extends entirely outwardly from the base plate with the second end being substantially inline with the first end (claim 1, lines 15-18), and the tabs having an inward bend to facilitate gripping and removal or replacement of the clasp (claim 1, lines 22-24) are new issues requiring further search and consideration. This was indicated in the examiner interview summary record mailed 8/4/03 that indicates on the record that I informed Mr. Bomzer that would not enter the proposal to claim 1 at this point of prosecution. The broad proposed claim 9 was not even presented in the interviews.